1	to argue any points or summarize.	
2	THE COURT: Let's see what time it is.	
3.	MR. LAURANS: Yeah.	
4	(The proceedings returned to open court.)	
5	THE COURT: Okay. We'll resume at 25 after.	
6	(A recess was taken.)	
7	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. All right.	
8 :	THE WITNESS: I'm waiting on you.	
9	THE COURT: That's right.	
10	RALPH ROBERT TRESSEL, being sworn by the Court, testified:	
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't think I'd	
12	been gone so long that I'd forgotten what had happened,	
13	but	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION by MR. LAURANS:	
15	Q Can you please state your name for the record?	
16	A My name is Ralph Robert Tressel. I go by the name of	
17	Bob.	
18	Q And Mr. Tressel, where are you from?	
19	A I'm from a little town called Hiram, Georgia. It's	
20	about 25 miles west of the city of Atlanta, Georgia.	
21	Q And could you tell us your education and	
22	qualifications?	
23	A Yes, sir. I'm currently self-employed as a forensic	
24	investigator. I was a Cobb County police officer, Cobb	
25	County being the third largest county in the state of	

Georgia, just north of the city of Atlanta, from 1973 until 1985. While I was with the Cobb County Police Department, I was promoted from a patrolman to the rank of detective after 18 months of being employed there.

Я

After being in the detective bureau for about two, two and a half years, I was promoted to the rank of sergeant and placed in charge of evening watch of the crimes against persons unit, which is commonly referred as the robbery-homicide division.

I remained in the Cobb County Police

Department until January of 1986, at which time I resigned my position and took the position with the Cobb County medical examiner's office as a forensic investigator. I was with the medical examiner's office for about two and a half to three years when I was made operations manager. Operations manager oversees the daily operation of the medical examiner's office, which included handling -- primarily, being the chief investigator for that facility.

I'm a high school graduate. I have not completed college. I've had almost two years of college. While with the police department and while with the medical examiner's office, I received training in death investigations and crime scene investigations at various universities and schools throughout the

United States. I've been trained at the University of
Miami School of Medicine, University of St. Louis -excuse me, University of St. Louis School of Medicine,
the National Law Enforcement Institute in Santa Rosa,
California, the FBI Academy in Quantico, Virginia, and
various other courses throughout the United States.

I've attended three blood spatter interpretation courses. I've also attended ATF ballistics and firearms courses during the course of my training.

In all, I have in excess of 700 hours of events training and death investigation training and crime scene investigation.

Q Have you taught?

- A I was a certified instructor while with Cobb County
 Police Department and with the Cobb County medical
 examiner's office. I was certified through the Georgia
 police offer's safety and training council as an
 instructor in death investigation and in crime scene
 analysis.
- Q Okay. On what topics have you testified as an expert?
- A I've testified as an expert in areas of blood spatter interpretation, crime scene analysis, which is the gathering of forensic evidence at crime scenes, evaluating that and determining positioning of bodies

at crime scenes. I've been qualified as an expert in the interpretation of crime laboratory reports pertaining to the forensic evidence that's obtained at the crime scenes.

- Q Do you have any expertise in the area of ballistics and interpreting reports pertaining to ballistics and bullet paths?
- A That's one of the things that I was trying to explain is it's not in ballistics per se as identifying this weapon fired this bullet, but in determining the trajectory of the bullets at crime scenes and knowledge of what different weapons do in their structure, yes, I have been qualified.
- Q Can you give us a sampling of the courts around the United States where you've been qualified as an expert and testified?
- A Yes. I've been qualified in various superior courts in the state of Georgia, the state of Florida, state of Alabama, state of North Carolina, and in the federal courts of the northern district of Georgia.

MR. LAURANS: Judge, at this time I'd offer Mr. Tressel as an expert on those topics.

MR. KELLY: No objection.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. LAURANS: Judge, also, just if it might

help later, at this time I'd offer Exhibit 52, which is not on my list, but it's a summary of Mr. Tressel's resume and background.

THE COURT: His CV? Okay. Is there any objection to the CV?

MR. KELLY: No objection.

THE COURT: Very well. The exhibit is received.

- Q (By MR. LAURANS) Mr. Tressel, can you tell us how it is that you came to be involved in this postconviction proceeding?
- A Yes. I was contacted or I should say the office that I work for at Burdon (ph) and Associates was contacted by North Wind Investigations out of Arkansas, by Mr. Charles Gay, pertaining to our involvement in looking at the crime scene of this case and providing some insight and some analysis of what the crime scene actually depicts. That was in January of this year, I'm sorry.
- Q Can you tell me what materials that you've evaluated?
- A There's certainly a litany of materials that I have,
 but primarily I have various affidavits; I have crime
 scene diagrams from the Blue Springs Police Department;
 I have some of their investigative reports from the
 crime scene from the Blue Springs Police Department. I

them by the way they're styled.

24

25

MR. LAURANS: I think this -- the one of

withdraw this one that he's looked at, because I don't 1 really need this in. 2 MR. KELLY: I would like for it to be called 3 Exhibit 56. It's just easier to handle it that way and that it's never been offered except to the extent that 5 the --6 MR. LAURANS: The individual exhibits have 7 been offered and received out of it. 8 9 THE COURT: Exactly. 10 MR. LAURANS: Okay. THE COURT: All right. 11 12 (By MR. LAURANS) Mr. Tressel? 13 THE COURT: Only lawyers would get in that 14 discussion. I don't think anybody else would. Okay. 15 (By MR. LAURANS) Can you identify the book I've just 16 handed to you? 17 Α Yes. This is a book contains the exhibits that were attached for the motion to reopen 29.15 hearing. 18 19 And what exhibit is that? 20 It's marked as Exhibit 56. 21 And did you review a book -- a copy of this book? 22 Yes, I have. A 23 Okay. And this other book, I'll represent to you, is 24. Exhibit 55. Did you review a copy of that?

25

Α

Yes, sir, I have.

- Q Do you need these up here right now?
- 2 A No, I don't think I do.
- Q Anything else that you've evaluated in any treatises or books or documents?
 - A I've referred to some books, primarily a book on gunshot wounds by Vince Dimaio, just to review some literature in that.
 - Q Is Mr. Dimaio?
 - A Dimaio.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Q Dimaio. Do you happen --
 - A He's a forensic pathologist who is currently chief medical examiner in San Antonio, Texas. He's written several books on gunshot wounds and pertaining to death investigations.
- Q In the community of those people who study that science, is he widely accepted -- is that book widely accepted?
- A Yes. It's highly accepted in the forensic community as being accurate, yes.
- Q Relied upon?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q With respect to Mrs. Middleton, can you tell the Court your specific factual findings?
 - A Well, Mrs. Middleton received a close-range gunshot wound to the left side of her face, just to the left of

the midline at about the left eye. It's described as being approximately one to one and a half inches, if I can remember correctly from the autopsy report, to the left of the midline and approximately three and a half inches down below the top of the head. So that's somewhere in this general vicinity right here (indicated).

The bullet penetrated through and pitted the right side of the head approximately two and a half inches below the top of the head. And if I remember correctly, the measurement's about three and a half inches from the midline back to it.

The entry wound displayed a four by four and a half inch area of powder stippling, gunpowder stippling, which the laboratory determined that they got a five by five inch stippling pattern at 12 inches in test firing.

Ms. Middleton, it struck the door framing of the door in the dining room in which the incident took place, ricocheted off the door framing and struck the ceiling approximately four feet out from the door framing, and then was found across the room on a towel.

With those measurements, were you able to conduct any calculations or perform any calculations?

17.

Well, yes. One of the things that I was interested in is, can we determine approximately what the angle was of departure? By departure, I mean once this bullet had penetrated through and struck the wall, what angle did it ricochet off of the door framing and strike the ceiling? Well, we knew the distance was measured at four feet out from the wall and we knew the distance up. So I did a graph to portray the measurements. And using the graph, I came up with a departure angle or ricochet angle of 59 degrees from the door frame.

- Q Okay. Now let's kind of put this in English. Once you've got those angles established, how are you able to use those angles?
- A Well, the angles establish that we know that the bullet, because of the ricochet, is upward. In other words, the bullet didn't hit and bounce downward, that the bullet was traveling in an upward trajectory when it came through Mrs. Middleton.

We also know, based on the measurements from the autopsy report, that the bullet was traveling from what we determined in forensics is traveling from her left to her right, from the front to the back, and traveling upward at a slight angle. The bullet, in an upward trajectory, then struck the door framing, bounced off, traveled and struck the ceiling. So we

know it's going upward.

One of the reasons I looked at Dimaio's book was it talks about ricochet angles. One of the problems with that is, is the ricochet angles that it talked about in all the forensic books and including he -- Dimaio references a book that was written in the early 80's by Haag, H-A-A-G, all deal with ricochet bullets before striking the human body. They're all different surfaces strikes. What does the impact happen? And in almost all the testing in the initial ricochet sequence, bullets that struck at angles, the departure angle was less than or equal to the impact angle. In other words, bullet comes in at 30 degrees, it's going to ricochet off at less than 30 degrees. Well, that's with a bullet that is undeformed and travel at normal speed into different surfaces.

Also in the textbooks we learn that a .38 caliber and certain caliber pistols, beginning at about 45 degrees, when striking sand, begin to embed and don't ricochet. They've reached an angle where ricochet does not occur.

So what I wanted to do was try and determine, what was the angle the bullet traveled from the wall to the ceiling, and then knowing that it either struck at an angle less than or equal to that, to try to

crime scene diagrams.

- 1 Q They didn't follow through with these calculations, 2 correct?
 - A I saw nothing in the material that I received that they ever did a calculation as to where she had to have been.
 - Q So they did measurements, correct?
 - A They did.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q But they didn't follow it with calculations to kind of back-calculate where the gun would have been when it went off?
- 11 A Well, I saw nothing to indicate that they took the

 12 measurements from the autopsy and the information they

 13 finally got from the laboratory about muzzle-to-target

 14 distance to go back and try to determine where she had

 15 to be.
- 16 Q But you've done that?
- 17 A I have done that, yes.
- 18 Q Now when you're talking about ricochet angles and you
 19 talk about there's an angle of the bullet going up from
 20 her head to the door frame, correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q And then when it hits the door and bounces off, that's the ricochet angle?
- 24 A That's the ricochet angle.
- 25 Q And the ricochet angle is going to be less than the

- A That's in a pristine condition without going through a human body. We have a bullet that once it penetrates through the body has lost a lot of velocity. It's also been deformed, and we don't know whether it's truly flying perfectly straight or beginning to tumble.
- Q Let's take the body out of it for a second.
- A Uh-huh.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q A bullet goes up at 30-degree angle and it bounces off something. That angle, the ricochet is going to be less, correct?
- A Less than 30 degrees.
- Q And what physics factor makes it go less?
- A Because when it impacts a surface, it is absorbing energy.
 - Q It loses energy to the surface of the door frame?
 - A That's correct. It loses its energy. Once it loses its energy, it doesn't deflect as much.
 - Q So it only makes sense that when a bullet goes through a human skull before hitting the door frame, it's not only going to lose that energy that you'd ordinarily see in a testing, it's going to probably lose even more because it went through more material?
 - A Well, it's going to lose about probably close to 50 percent of its energy going through the body.

- Q And are these things that you were able to factor in, given the measurements from the Blue Springs police?
 - A Yes.

14

15

16

- Q Any other findings with respect to this aspect?
- Well, findings indicate that if we use the 59-degree Α 5 angle and we bring it down to the -- create that to 6 make the impact angle where it's coming through, taking 7 the measurements that were provided, the barrel of the 8 weapon, using the 12-inch muzzle-to-target that the 9 10 crime lab gave us on a five by five pattern, the barrel of the weapon has to be at a 59-degree angle pointed 11 upward towards that door frame from a height of four 12 feet one inch off the floor. 13
 - Q How do we know that? What -- first of all, let's start with this. How high off the ground was the bullet when it hit the door frame?
 - A Five feet six inches.
- 18 Q And it was going up at the time it hit the door frame,
 19 correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 0 So that -- how tall was Katherine Middleton?
- 22 A Five feet six inches.
- 23 Q But the bullet was going up, right?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q So she would have had to be below five feet six?

- A She's got to be below that in order for the bullet to strike that high.
 - Q And then when you traced the bullet --
 - A When you follow the trajectory line back to -- you carry the trajectory line --
 - Q -- back to the gun?

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- -- back to the floor. And then you go back and you try 7 Α to determine, What are our distances? Well, we know 8 9 from the crime scene that her head was approximately two inches from the door when -- at the exit point. 10 11 The head's about a foot wide, so we add a foot there. 12 And then we add the 12 inches that the crime lab says 13 it had to be fired from, the muzzle to target. We then 14 plot that on this graph, and that comes to four feet 15 one inch off of the floor the weapon had to be fired 16 from.
 - Q Now is that the highest the weapon could have been or lowest?
 - A That's the highest it could have been. It could have been much lower than that, because if you bring the angle down and shallow out the angle, then it takes the weapon closer to the floor.
 - Q So it's possible the weapon couldn't have been higher than four foot one inch?
 - A Could not have been any higher than that, no, sir.

- 1 Q But could have been lower?
- 2 A Could have been much lower.
- Q Did you bring any exhibits or any things that would help you demonstrate and explain?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
 - Q Can you show us what you brought?
 - A Sure. What I did was I took a --
 - Q Hold on a second. Tell me what you brought.
- 9 A I brought a Styrofoam head.
- 10 Q Yeah.

- 11 A It's a wig head. And a dowel rod.
- 2 And this head isn't necessarily perfectly similar in any fashion to Katherine Middleton's head, it's just a
- 14 Styrofoam head from a beauty salon?
- 15 A That's correct. Styrofoam head from the beauty salon.
- 16 I don't have any measurements of Mrs. Middleton's head,
- so I can't correlate this being accurate to her head at
- all. It's just an idea to give us some idea of what
- 19 the trajectory was of this bullet.
- 20 Q So would that aid you in your explanation of these 21 angles?
- 22 A Yes, definitely.
- 23 Q And what else did you bring?
- 24 A I brought a dowel rod.
- 25 Q And what purpose does that serve?

- A Well, this serves to -- this would be the bullet path.
- Q And would that aid you in explaining to us what transpired?
- A Most definitely.

7.

- Q Through a demonstration?
- A Demonstration. That's what this is for.

MR. LAURANS: I'd move at this time that he be allowed to demonstrate with these two props, knowing that they're not precise.

THE COURT: Just for the purpose of showing the trajectory that the witness has talked about, you may proceed.

A Okay. Well, with the entry wound as described in the autopsy report is about to the left side of the eye right in here. And then the exit wound was on the right side of the head. And these are fairly close in measurement. But what I did was stick the dowel rod through to show the angle of this -- that this is going.

Now the thing that I did to try to understand this is this tip on the dowel rod indicates the two inches from the head to the wall where the head strike was, based on the blood spatter. You pull that back to the edge of the head. And on this end we have -- this is a 12-inch mark, which is where the crime lab got the

five by five. And then there's some testimony it could have been as close as eight inches, which is the beginning of the red mark. So the barrel is somewhere in this general area where the shot is fired.

Now the thing that we have to remember is that if she's standing erect, she's five foot six and the entry point to the wall is five foot six. So she has to be bent over. So we have to give it an angle of about 59 degrees.

And then we begin to see this is about the same angle. But the head can be anywhere on this plane. So if she's standing almost erect and slightly bent over, we get almost the same indication.

So we just have to remember that her head has to be on the plane of the dowel rod or the trajectory of the bullet in order to receive the gunshot wound.

Then I took this to try to determine what forensic evidence should we expect to see depending on how the weapon is fired? The weapon is a .357 Magnum, a six-shot revolver, and has a cylinder gap and has, I believe, a four-inch barrel, if my memory serves me correctly.

Well, we know that the stippling gunpowder comes out the end of the barrel and essentially tattoos the left side of the face of Ms. Middleton. And there

was some contention that Mr. Middleton, when he fired the weapon, had a hold of her or had his arm up against her when he fired the weapon. Well, that presented a little bit of a concern in looking at what forensic evidence did we have in this case.

If he has his hand around her throat or if he has his arm around her throat, he's got his arm directly in line with what we call the cylinder gap of the weapon, the cylinder gap being where you close the cylinder and the barrel is right here, goes out, and the weapon's fired.

Particles of unburnt gunpowder, just like we see stippling the face of Ms. Middleton, blow out the sides. He was wearing a white dress shirt, yet his shirt had no burn marks on it whatsoever and there was no gunshot residue found on his shirt. That was the first thing that was of concern.

The second thing is that once this bullet penetrates through her head, she's immediately going to want to drop, in less than a tenth of a millisecond, to the floor. As she begins to fall, she falls to her left -- left side and that's how she's found by law enforcement when they get there.

The perpetrator is off to her left side. Has her against the wall, because we know this is

- Q In your opinion is that reconcilable? Is it possible he still could have fired that gun and not had any of that on him, that close range?
- A Not with the scenario that was presented by the State at trial.
- Q There's one other measurement I want you to talk about then, all right? How much room was there for all this to take place in?
- A There was less than four feet.
- Q From what to what?

Well, the crime scene drawing shows that Ms. Middleton was -- body who -- is her head was four feet from the wall where the door was. And the drawing shows her as being slightly up under the edge of the dining room table. So that means there's less than four feet distance between the dining room table and the wall where these two people had to be standing for this shooting to take place, if the State's theory was correct.

- A He'd had to have been within a -- less than a two feet area of the victim at the time the gun was fired. Or because of the angle of 59 degrees and dropping it down, he'd have had to be up under the edge of the table.
- Q So given -- that's what I'm asking. Given the crime scene diagram, where would he have had to have been positioned?
- A Up under the edge of the table.
- Q How high was that table?

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

- 18 A I don't have a measurement on the table. I can only
 19 assume, based on the standard dining room table.
 - Q Have you seen a picture of that table in the exhibits?
 - A I have seen a picture of it.
- 22 Q It wasn't a bar stool table?
- A It's not a bar. It looks like it's about 39 inches off the ground, give or take.
 - Q Was it much higher or about the same as this table

- A Yes. Mr. Middleton -- excuse me.
- 2 Q Go ahead.

11

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Mr. Middleton stated that the first officer on the
 scene, that she was walking around the end of the
 table, it appeared that she dropped the gun and reached
 for it and it went off. And he described her as being
 bent over. Well, that perfectly fits the scenario and
 the trajectory that she had to be bent over at the time
 she received the gunshot wound.
- 10 Q Have you also evaluated gunshot residue documents?
 - A Yes, I have.
- 12 Q Are they consistent with the State's theory that
 13 Mr. Middleton was the perpetrator?
 - A Well, his tests were negative.
- 15 Q Is that consistent with a finding of guilt?
- 16 A No, it's not.
- 17 Q What about her gunshot residue documents?
 - A Well, apparently we have some kind of conflict with the gunshot residue tests on Ms. Middleton. Only the right hand was submitted for testing. There was no left hand sample submitted.
 - Q Is it possible, given an ordinary build of a human being, that she could have, with those mathematical measurements, shot herself with her right hand?
 - A Highly unlikely. I mean you never want to say

anything's totally impossible, but if she had fired it -- if Mrs. Middleton had fired it with the right hand, she would have had to bring it across her body and expose her arm to the cylinder gap.

If she'd have fired it with her left hand, however, and pulled the weapon with the trigger with her thumb, the gap is out here, she's not going to get any burns to her arm or anything. She can fire it with her left hand using the thumb to pull the trigger.

- Q And those gunshot residue documents do not rule out that possibility, do they?
- A There's no test performed on the left hand, apparently.
- Q So it's not excluded as a theory?
- A Certainly not. If the test came back positive, then that would indicate that was the hand the weapon was held in.
- Q In your law enforcement background, have you received training in the procedures for documenting such tests?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q Have you examined the copies of those gunshot residue documents?
 - A I have.

- Q Are they procedurally written properly or improperly?
 - A Well, they appear to be -- the one pertaining to the sample that's on Mrs. Middleton appears to be altered,

8-

- Q You didn't see a subsequent report explaining it?
- A Didn't see a subsequent report or didn't see a comment on the face sheet of that paper documenting why the change was made.
- Q Did you make any other findings in connection with this case?
- A The only other finding that I had from a crime lab report was involving the shoe print on the wall, that one foot ten inches in from the door. The shoe print is described as being behind some decorative statue and a potted plant or a plant of some sort. And it's described as being a heel print of a shoe.

According to the reports that I have, the shoe was checked and matched, supposedly this shoe print, but yet there was nothing found on the shoe to match it up to the gypsum board that the wall was made of.

I did some measurements and some testing,
it's only two feet ten inches off the floor, which is
fairly low, and it's a heel print, it's not a toe
print. And it's inboard by one feet ten inches from
the door frame. It's virtually impossible for a person
to get your heel on the wall at that height with enough
force to make an indention of it and still maintain

Yes, I was.

- Are there others around the country who you know to be 1 Q 2 similarly qualified as you? 3 Α Oh, yes. Back in 1991? 4 5 Α Yes. You weren't the only guy in the country? 6 7 Α Oh, no, definitely not. 8 You're not the needle in the haystack expert? 9 A No. 10 We could have found another expert in another region of Q 11 the country who could have done the same math, correct? 12 Α Oh, yes. 13 And these are mathematical, empirical, scientific 0 14 calculations? 15 These are the ways we are taught to try to determine A 16 trajectory angles and to help determine how an incident 17 takes place. Is there any subjectivity within what you've done here? 18 19 The only subjectivity is from using the 59-degree angle 20 of impact, because we have no way of calculating that 21 at this time, and that's merely giving it an equal to 22 the departure angle.
 - defendant the benefit?
 - A I'm giving the State the benefit in using that.

24

25

Q

You mean you're giving the State the benefit or the

Did -- I'm going to hand you what's been marked as 1 0 Movant's Exhibit 53. Did you compile this? 2 Yes, I did. 3 Α And what is that? 5 Α That's my report prepared on this case. Your testimony today in any way inconsistent with this 6 Q report? I don't believe so. A 8 MR. LAURANS: Judge, just as an aid to the 9 Court, I've provided this to Mr. Kelly well in advance, 10 11 and I would just offer this. THE COURT: Any objection? 12 MR. KELLY: No. 13 THE COURT: Very well. 53 is received. 14 MR. LAURANS: This is your copy, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 16 MR. LAURANS: I don't have any further 17 18 questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION by MR. KELLY: 19 Mr. Tressel, your conclusion, given where the shooter 20 had to be or how the weapon had to be placed, more 21 22 accurate, is based on what I would gather from your 23 testimony is sort of a trajectory-ricochet-science

It's measuring of known trajectory in this case.

theory; is that correct?

24

1 have a known trajectory.

- Q And I understand that, but ricochet theory plays a big part in how you're figuring the path and likely placement; is that correct?
 - A Not the departure angle. The incident angle is the one that can be less than 59 degrees.
 - Q Okay. But that assumes certain variables, and one of those variables is, you have a pristine projectile?
 - A No, sir. It's not assuming there's a pristine projectile. There's why I'm saying is the angle of 59 degrees is the maximum angle it could have struck at.
 - Q And this is based upon simply the entrance and exit?
 - A Well, the combination of the entry and exit and knowing that a bullet that ricochets is either equal to or less than the impact angle, under pristine conditions.
 - Q Right. And things that might make it not pristine could be variables such as?
 - A Oh, striking an intermediate target, such as the body of Mrs. Middleton.
 - Q Okay. That adds a whole host of possible problems, does it not?
 - A There's no way of fully, 100 percent, determining exactly the impact angle of that bullet.
- Q Okay.

- A There is a way, but we don't have anything to measure it with in this case.
 - Q Okay. And certainly movement of the players would make a difference, correct? If the body was in motion at the time, if the firearm was in motion at the time, would that also not play into it as -- I mean you're talking about stationary objects when you're doing this.
 - A I'm talking about when the weapon was fired, this is where it had to be.
 - Q Okay.

- A Whether it's in motion or not doesn't matter. When it fired, it had to be in these certain positions in order to cause this wound and to cause that ricochet angle.
- Q Okay. And the ricochet angle being that that happened after it exited the body?
- A After it exited the body, yes.
- Q And certainly the body's effect upon that projectile is somewhat undetermined?
 - A Well, we know it continued on its path. It didn't lose all its energy, because it had enough energy to dig into the wall and then rebound off, made a mark in the ceiling. But it didn't have enough energy, excuse me, enough energy when it got to the ceiling to embed in the ceiling.

1 Q Right.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A So we know that it still had a significant amount of energy upon exit.
- Q And we -- but we don't know whether a deformity that occasioned upon a projectile either occurred as it passed through the victim or whether it occurred upon the ricochet?
- A Well, I can tell you I'm sure there is some deformation that occurred passing through the body, and then there's additional deformation once it impacts the wall.
- Q And you've been in business a long time, from what it sounds like; is that correct?
- A Seems like forever sometimes, yes.
- Q You know, I'll submit to you that I had a conversation not long ago with a medical examiner who is always quite difficult. And his testimony was, Bullets do wild things when they're inside of a body. They sometimes go different directions and it's just hard to know all the time what happens.
 - A .22's and .280's are notorious for that, but when you get into a .357 Magnum, velocities are too great. It doesn't do wild things.
- Q But it -- a body could have an impact upon a projectile, we can --

- A It's going to alter the projectile some, yes.
 - Q And when you talked about it, you said you based part of your conclusion upon, for lack of a better word, a learned treatise by a medical examiner; is that correct?
- A That's correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q And so you're basing your ultimate conclusions upon a theory of another person; is that correct?
- A Well, it's not just of him, it's -- he cites other individuals in his work also that have all done the same type of testing with similar results.
- Q Okay. But largely, that's where that comes from?
- A That's their testing, yes.
 - Q Okay. Jumping over now to the gunshot residue issue, is it possible for -- would it have been possible for one to fire the weapon in this case and vigorously wash and scrub their hands and then be administered the gunshot test and come up negative?
 - A Yes.

MR. KELLY: Okay. That's all I have, Judge. REDIRECT EXAMINATION by MR. LAURANS:

- Q Mr. Tressel, is it -- is it possible for the bullet to enter the head and somehow pick up energy on the exit of the head to create a greater ricochet angle?
- A No.

- 1 Q The head's going to absorb some of the energy?
- 2 A It's going to absorb about 50 percent of a .357 Magnum.
 - Q So your calculations are maximum height?
 - A Maximum height, yes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 5 Q And absolutely best the State could ever hope for is
 6 four foot one inch?
 - A There's no way it could go any higher based on the angle that it goes through her body and where it strikes and where it goes afterwards.
 - Q Any unknowns are actually going to lower the four foot one?
 - A It's going to lower the height of the weapon.
 - Q So if a bullet did a crazy thing with respect to

 Katherine Middleton, we can be assured it's not going
 to give you a finding of greater than four foot one,
 but less than four foot one?
 - A No. In my opinion it could not be any greater than four foot one inch.
 - Q And that's pretty much simple physics, the absorption of energy, correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Is there any way that --
- 23 MR. LAURANS: Could I approach, Judge?
- Q (By MR. LAURANS) Is there any way that the
 perpetrator, if there was a perpetrator, could hold a

1		gun at this angle downwards to the head, have the
2		bullet go in the head, do something crazy and then
3		shoot out, ricochet off the door, and still give the
4		same measurements?
5	Ā	Not with a .357 Magnum.
6	Q	And not from that close of range?
7	A	Not that close of range. Muzzle the velocity of the
8		.357 Magnum is documented, depending on ammunition, to
9		be anywhere from 1,100 to 1,400 feet per second.
10	n Q	As you're sitting here today, can you state that your
11		opinions are within a reasonable degree of scientific
12		and mathematical certainty?
13	А	Yes, sir, I can.
14	Q	And to what to what degree are you certain?
15	A	In my position, I believe it's 100 percent certain.
16		MR. LAURANS: Thank you. I don't have any
17		further questions, Judge.
18		MR. KELLY: Nothing from the State.
19		THE COURT: Can this witness be excused?
20		MR. LAURANS: Yes.
21		MR. KELLY: Yes, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused.
23		You may step down.
24		(Witness excused.)
25		MR. LAURANS: Judge, I'm down to my last

MR. LAURANS: Judge, I'm down to my last